TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

FISCAL YEAR 2002 SIX MONTH UPDATE (OCTOBER 2001 - MARCH 2002)

Data Prepared by the Evaluation Section
Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division
Budget, Analysis and Financial Management Administration
Michigan Family Independence Agency

August 2002

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 01-02 (i.e., October 2001 through March 2002) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- > During this six-month period, 680 new participants entered the program. These new cases are in addition to the 1,017 ongoing cases that opened prior to October 1, 2001, and continued to receive services as of the start of FY 01-02.
- > 17.7% of the participants were referred to the program by their local FIA offices.
- In terms of race/ethnicity,
 - > 62.9% of the participants were African American.
 - > 29.5% of the participants were white.
 - ➤ 4.3% of the participants were Hispanic.
 - > 1.2% of the participants were Native American.
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 10.1% of the participants.
- > The average age of the participants was 18.08 years.
- > 96.0% of the participants were single.
- > 52.7% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant and parenting) upon entering the program, with 96.6% of those receiving prenatal care at that time.
- > 55.9% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant and parenting), with 82.8% of them parenting one child, 13.8% parenting two children, 3.2% parenting three children, and 0.3% parenting four children.
- > On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
 - > 49.6% of the participants were enrolled in school.
 - > 5.1% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
 - > 4.3% of the participants were GED holders.
 - > 14.0% of the participants were high school graduates.
- > 18.5% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 25.7 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.51.
- > 27.2% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2002 Six Month Update October 2001 - March 2002

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 01-02. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2002, namely, October 2001 through March 2002.

The program continues to operate via twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties being served by the program include Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo¹, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Ogemaw, Oakland, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of clients who entered the teen parent program between October 1, 2001, and March 31, 2002. During this six-month period, 680 new clients entered the program².

Table 1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS

NUMBER OF CLIENTS				MONT	Н			FY02	FY01
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Number of Clients Entering the Program During the Month	112	115	95	115	122	121	680	680	1249

The program associated with Kalamazoo County began enrolling participants November 2001.

² In addition to the aforementioned new cases, there were 1,017 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2001, and remained open as of the start of FY01-02). Source: Teen Parent Program Semi-Annual Monitoring Report (October 2001).

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the clients to the program. Referrals received from the Family Independence Agency (FIA) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 17.7% (120) of the referrals during this six month period were from the FIA. This was surpassed by referrals from some "other" source (see footnote, below, for details regarding "other" referral sources), which accounted for 32.2% (218) of the referrals. Meanwhile, rounding out the top three referral sources was "community agency" which accounted for 16.1% (109) of the referrals. The remaining 34.1% of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, public/community health agencies, mental health agencies, and schools.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE				MONT	н			FY02	FY01	
NEI ENNAL GOUNGE	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL	
FIA	22	29	20	15	20	14	120 (17.7%)	120 (17.7%)	310 (24.9%)	
Health Care Provider	10	15	3	12	12	15	67 (9.9%)	67 (9.9%)	156 (12.5%)	
Public/Community Health	9	4	7	16	18	12	66 (9.7%)	66 (9.7%)	135 (10.8%)	
Community Agency	11	12	18	25	21	22	109 (16.1%)	109 (16.1%)	144 (11.6%)	
Mental Health	0	1	0	1	0	1	(0.3%)	(0.3%)	4 (0.3%)	
School	18	13	20	12	14	19	96 (14.2%)	96 (14.2%)	122 (9.8%)	
Other ³	41	41	27	35	37	37	218 (32.2%)	218 (32.2%)	374 (30.0%)	
TOTALS	111	115	95	115	122	120	678 (100.0%) ⁴	678 (100.0%)	1245 (100.0%)	
Missing ⁵	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4	

³ "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, another program participant, was a former program participant, word of mouth, the TPP agency, private agency, Boysville, court system, probation officer, church, Early-On, radio station, flyer, etc.

⁴ In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

⁵ Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

PART II: CLIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of clients entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2002. Accordingly, 62.9% (427) of the individuals were African American, 29.5% (200) were white, 4.3% (29) were Hispanic, and 1.2% (8) were Native American. The "other" responses served to identify fifteen individuals as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY				MONT	Н			FY02	FY01
NAGE/ETHNICITY	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
White	33	40	22	35	28	42	200 (29.5%)	200 (29.5%)	381 (30.8%)
African American	72	66	68	72	79	70	427 (62.9%)	427 (62.9%)	769 (61.8%)
Native American	3	3	0	1	0	1	8 (1.2%)	8 (1.2%)	9 (0.7%)
Hispanic	2	4	4	4	10	5	29 (4.3%)	29 (4.3%)	58 (4.7%)
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 (0.4%)
Other	2	2	0	3	5	3	15 (2.2%)	15 (2.2%)	22 (1.8%)
TOTALS	112	115	94	115	122	121	679 (100.0%)	679 (100.0%)	1244 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	5

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of clients entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2002. Accordingly, 89.9% (611) of the individuals were female, and 10.1% (69) were male.

Table 4
GENDER⁶

			МО	NTH			FY02	FY01	
GENDER	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	(LATTER 6 MONTHS)
Female	100	101	90	100	105	115	611 (89.9%)	611 (89.9%)	734 (93.4%)
Male	12	14	5	15	17	6	69 (10.1%)	69 (10.1%)	
TOTALS	112	115	95	115	122	121	680 (100.0%)	680 (100.0%)	786 (100.0%)

⁶Information related to gender was first collected in April 2001.

Table 5 displays the age distribution of clients entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2002, with the overall average age being 18.08 years. For those clients entering the program during the months of October, November, and December 2001, age was calculated as of December 31, 2001, with the average age being 18.05 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of January, February, and March 2002, age was calculated as of March 31, 2002, with the average age being 18.11 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENTS

				MONT	Ή			FY02	FY01
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENTS	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Twelve	1	0	0	0	1	0	(0.3%)	(0.3%)	(0.2%)
Thirteen	1	0	0	1	0	1	3 (0.5%)	3 (0.5%)	8 (0.7%)
Fourteen	4	4	2	5	3	4	22 (3.3%)	(3.3%)	31 (2.5%)
Fifteen	13	8	11	9	9	8	58 (8.7%)	58 (8.7%)	85 (7.0%)
Sixteen	12	11	23	11	22	21	100 (15.1%)	100 (15.1%)	195 (16.0%)
Seventeen	28	21	12	20	27	31	139 (21.0%)	139 (21.0%)	312 (25.5%)
Eighteen	20	27	21	24	22	22	136 (20.5%)	136 (20.5%)	286 (23.4%)
Nineteen	16	23	12	20	17	22	110 (16.6%)	110 (16.6%)	201 (16.4%)
Twenty	9	18	7	15	14	7	70 (10.6%)	70 (10.6%)	79 (6.5%)
Twenty-one and over	5	1	3	6	6	2	23 (3.5%)	23 (3.5%)	23 (1.9%)
TOTALS	109	113	91	111	121	118	663 (100.0%)	663 (100.0%)	1222 (100.0%)
Missing	3	2	4	4	1	3	17	17	27

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.92 years old, and the average male participant was 19.51 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁷

AGE BY	FIRST SI	X MONTHS	- FISCAL YEA	R 01-02	FY02 %	FY01 %
GENDER	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)	YTD	(latter six months)
Female	98.9	94.2	83.8	90.2 (598)	90.2 (598)	93.6 (721)
Male	1.1	5.8	16.2	9.8 (65)	9.8 (65)	6.4 (49)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (185)	100.0 (139)	100.0 (339)	100.0 (663)	100.0 (663)	100.0 (770)

⁷For the first six months of FY02, there were seventeen cases for which information about age was missing. Meanwhile, for the latter six months of FY01, there were sixteen cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the clients. Accordingly, 96.0% (653) were single, 3.8% (26) were married, and one participant (0.1%) was divorced.

Of the twenty-six individuals who were married, thirteen were white, ten were African American, two were Hispanic, and one was multi-racial. In terms of age, three were sixteen years old or younger, three were seventeen years old, and twenty were eighteen years old or older.

Table 7
MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS				MONT	Н			FY01	FY01
WARTINE STATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Single	108	109	90	111	117	118	653 (96.0%)	653 (96.0%)	1161 (95.1%)
Married	4	5	5	4	5	3	26 (3.8%)	26 (3.8%)	54 (4.4%)
Divorced	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 (0.4%)
TOTALS	112	115	95	115	122	121	680 (100.0%)	680 (100.0%)	1221 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of clients who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant **and** parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 44.1% (299) were pregnant, 47.3% (321) were parenting, and 8.6% (58) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE				MONT	Н			FY02	FY01
THE STATE OF THE S	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Pregnant	50	43	44	51	60	51	299 (44.1%)	299 (44.1%)	191 (41.4%)
Parenting	49	64	43	56	54	55	321 (47.3%)	321 (47.3%)	633 (50.8%)
Pregnant and Parenting	12	8	7	8	8	15	58 (8.6%)	58 (8.6%)	106 (8.5%)
TOTALS	111	115	94	115	122	121	678 (100.0%)	678 (100.0%)	1245 (100.0%)
Missing	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	4

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 96.6% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF CLIENT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS				FY02	FY01				
SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Yes	61	49	48	59	63	64	344 (96.6%)	344 (96.6%)	563 (94.1%)
No	1	2	3	0	5	1	12 (3.4%)	12 (3.4%)	35 (5.9%)
TOTALS	62	51	51	59	68	65	356 (100.0%)	356 (100.0%)	598 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	14

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further broken down in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 71.1% (322) were one year or younger, 14.6% (66) were two years old, 8.8% (40) were three years old, 3.1% (14) were four years old, and 2.4% (11) were five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 83.4% (266) of those parenting had one child, 12.9% (41) had two children, 3.4% (11) had three children, and 0.3% (1) had four children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF				MONT	Н			FY02	FY01 TOTAL
CHILDREN:	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	
One	44	52	34	46	47	43	266 (83.4%)	266 (83.4%)	516 (82.8%)
Two	4	10	8	8	5	10	41 (12.9%)	41 (12.9%)	93 (14.9%)
Three	0	1	5	2	2	1	11 (3.4%)	11 (3.4%)	12 (1.9%)
Four	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)	(0.3%)	(0.3%)
TOTALS	48	64	43	56	54	54	319 (100.0%)	319 (100.0%)	623 (100.0%)
Missing	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	10

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 79.3% (46) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 19.0% (11) had two children, and 1.7% (1) had three children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF CLIENT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF				MONT	Н			FY02	FY01
INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
One	10	6	6	4	8	12	46 (79.3%)	46 (79.3%)	85 (81.0%)
Two	1	2	1	4	0	3	11 (19.0%)	11 (19.0%)	17 (16.2%)
Three	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 (1.7%)	1 (1.7%)	3 (2.9%)
TOTALS	12	8	7	8	8	15	58 (100.0%)	58 (100.0%)	105 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the clients' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by clients upon entering the program was 10.2.

A. School

The 333 individuals enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- > Twenty-five individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training.
- > Three individuals had a GED certificate.
- > Twelve teens had a high school diploma.
- Forty-nine teens were working and going to school.
- > On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.8.
- In terms of age, 42.6% were sixteen years old or younger, 25.2% were seventeen years old, and 32.2% were eighteen years old or older.

The 338 individuals who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Eighty-two teens had a high school diploma.
- Twenty-six participants had a GED certificate.
- Nine individuals were in GED training.
- Seventy-five teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.5.
- In terms of age, 12.8% were sixteen years old or younger, 16.7% were seventeen years old, and 70.5% were eighteen years old or older.

Of the nine cases for whom information about school enrollment was missing, eight were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment. Meanwhile, one case, while missing information about school enrollment, did indicate negative responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment.

B. GED Training

Of the thirty-four individuals in GED training, twenty-five were also in school and seven were working (including five who were also attending school). In terms of age, 8.8% were sixteen years old or younger, 35.3% were seventeen years old, and 55.9% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Twenty-nine individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, three of whom were continuing their education and eleven of whom were working. In addition, one individual was identified as having both a GED certificate and a diploma.

D. High School Diploma

The ninety-four individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- > Twelve teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty-seven teens were working.
- One teen was identified as having both a GED certificate and a diploma.

The 578 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 321 teens were enrolled in school.
- > Thirty-four teens were in GED training (including twenty-five who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Twenty-eight teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Ninety-seven individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 185 individuals, or 27.2% of those who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2002, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 21.3% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 24.0% were seventeen years old, and 54.6% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

CLIENT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE				MONTH				FY02 YTD	FY01 TOTAL
A. Was the client in school at intake?	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	61	55	52	47	54	64	333 (49.6%)	333 (49.6%)	571 (48.0%)
No	46	60	43	68	68	53	338 (50.4%)	338 (50.4%)	619 (52.0%)
TOTALS (Missing)	107 (5)	115	95	115	122	117 (4)	671 (9) (100.0%)	671 (9) (100.0%)	1190 (59) (100.0%)
B. Was the client in GED training?	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	02 YTD	01 Total
Yes	6	3	6	5	5	9	34 (5.1%)	34 (5.1%)	72 (6.1%)
No	102	112	89	110	117	108	638 (94.9%)	638 (94.9%)	1115 (93.9%)
TOTALS (Missing)	108 (4)	115	95	115	122	117 (4)	672 (8) (100.0%)	672 (8) (100.0%)	1187 (62) (100.0%)
C. Did the client have a GED?	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	02 YTD	01 Total
Yes	2	2	8	9	2	3	29 (4.3%)	29 (4.3%)	38 (3.2%)
No	106	110	87	106	120	114	643 (95.7%)	643 (95.7%)	1151 (96.8%)
TOTALS (Missing)	108 (4)	115	95	115	122	117 (4)	672 (8) (100.0%)	672 (8) (100.0%)	1189 (60) (100.0%)
D. Did the client have a hs diploma?	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	02 YTD	01 Total
Yes	11	19	6	25	19	14	94 (14.0%)	94 (14.0%)	147 (12.4%)
No	97	96	89	90	103	103	578 (86.0%)	578 (86.0%)	1042 (87.6%)
TOTALS (Missing)	108 (4)	115	95	115	122	117 (4)	672 (8) (100.0%)	672 (8) (100.0%)	1189 (60) (100.0%)

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 18.5%, or 124 individuals, had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 81.6% (547) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE CLIENT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY02	FY01
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Yes	20	28	14	19	23	20	124 (18.5%)	124 (18.5%)	239 (20.2%)
No	88	87	81	96	98	97	547 (81.6%)	547 (81.6%)	943 (79.8%)
TOTALS	108	115	95	115	121	117	671 (100.0%)	671 (100.0%)	1182 (100.0%)
Missing	4	0	0	0	1	4	9	9	67

For the 124 teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 25.7 and the average hourly wage was \$6.51. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.91 years. Furthermore,

- Figure 1. Twenty-seven individuals had a high school diploma (one of whom was also continuing her education).
- Eleven teens had a GED certificate (one of whom was also continuing her education).
- Seven teens were in GED training (five of whom were also identified as enrolled in school).
- Forty-nine individuals were in school (five of whom were also in GED training, one of whom had a diploma, and one of whom had a GED).
- Thirty-seven teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 547 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- > Of the teens not working, 283 were enrolled in school (including twenty who were also in GED training, ten who had a high school diploma, and two who had a GED certificate).
- > Twenty-seven teens were in GED training (twenty of whom were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- > Sixty-seven individuals had a high school diploma (ten of whom were also continuing their education).
- Eighteen teens had a GED certificate (two of whom were also identified as continuing their education).
- > One individual was identified as having both a GED certificate and a diploma.

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the clients' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 51.9% of the individuals who entered the program during the first six months of FY01-02 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 11.6% living with other relative(s), and 9.3% living independently. The remaining 27.2% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 19, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 75.3% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 55.4% of those aged seventeen and 37.6% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 93.3% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement. Similarly, 80.5% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included living with: friend/friend's family, father of baby's aunt, father of baby's parents (w/o father of baby), teen's parents and her partner, supportive housing program, juvenile home, treatment center, unknown living arrangement, etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE CLIENT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?		MONTH							FY01
		NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
w/Parents	55	57	48	63	64	63	350 (51.9%)	350 (51.9%)	638 (51.9%)
w/Guardian	3	6	8	6	7	3	33 (4.9%)	33 (4.9%)	48 (3.9%)
w/Other relative	14	11	15	8	16	14	78 (11.6%)	78 (11.6%)	176 (14.3%)
w/Partner	8	12	6	12	9	12	59 (8.8%)	59 (8.8%)	73 (5.9%)
w/Spouse	3	2	2	3	1	2	13 (1.9%)	13 (1.9%)	29 (2.4%)
Formal placement	4	2	0	3	1	1	11 (1.6%)	11 (1.6%)	26 (2.1%)
Independently	9	11	10	10	13	10	63 (9.3%)	63 (9.3%)	115 (9.3%)
Homeless	1	4	2	2	3	5	17 (2.5%)	17 (2.5%)	18 (1.5%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	7	5	2	3	4	2	23 (3.4%)	23 (3.4%)	59 (4.8%)
Other	5	4	2	4	3	9	27 (4.0%)	27 (4.0%)	48 (3.9%)
TOTALS	109	114	95	114	121	121	674 (100.0%)	674 (100.0%)	1230 (100.0%)
Missing	3	1	0	1	1	0	6	6	19

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁸

AGE BY LIVING	FI	FY02	FY01				
ARRANGEMENT	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)	YTD %	TOTAL %	
w/Parents	75.3	55.4	37.5	51.8 (340)	51.8 (340)	51.8 (624)	
w/Guardian	9.3	8.6	0.6	4.7 (31)	4.7 (31)	3.9 (47)	
w/Other relative	6.0	12.9	14.0	11.6 (76)	11.6 (76)	14.5 (175)	
w/Partner	2.2	6.5	12.8	8.5 (56)	8.5 (56)	5.9 (71)	
w/Spouse	0.5	0.7	3.3	2.0 (13)	2.0 (13)	2.4 (29)	
Formal placement	2.2	2.9	0.9	1.7 (11)	1.7 (11)	2.2 (26)	
Independently	0.5	3.6	17.0	9.6 (63)	9.6 (63)	9.3 (112)	
Homeless	0.5	1.4	4.2	2.6 (17)	2.6 (17)	1.5 (18)	
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	1.1	2.2	5.4	3.5 (23)	3.5 (23)	4.8 (58)	
Other	2.2	5.8	4.5	4.1 (27)	4.1 (27)	3.7 (45)	
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (182)	100.0 (139)	100.0 (336)	100.0 (657)	100.0 (657)	100.0 (1205)	

For the first six months of fiscal year 2001-2002, there were twenty-three individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown. NOTE: For FY 00-01, there were forty-four individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.